

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

5 01

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Computer Vision and Image Understanding

Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

www.elsevier.com/locate/cviu

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

# Combining visual dictionary, kernel-based similarity and learning strategy for image category retrieval

Philippe Henri Gosselin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Matthieu Cord<sup>b</sup>, Sylvie Philipp-Foliguet<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ETIS/CNRS UMR 8051, Image, 6, Avenue du Ponceau, BP 44, 95014 Cergy-Pontoise, France <sup>b</sup> LIP6/CNRS, 75016 Paris, France

Received 2 October 2006; accepted 5 September 2007

#### 9 Abstract

This paper presents a search engine architecture, RETIN, aiming at retrieving complex categories in large image databases. For indexing, a scheme based on a two-step quantization process is presented to compute visual codebooks. The similarity between images is represented in a kernel framework. Such a similarity is combined with online learning strategies motivated by recent machine-learning developments such as active learning. Additionally, an offline supervised learning is embedded in the kernel framework, offering a real opportunity to learn semantic categories. Experiments with real scenario carried out from the Corel Photo database demonstrate the efficiency and the relevance of the RETIN strategy and its outstanding performances in comparison to up-to-date strategies. © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

17 *Keywords:* Multimedia retrieval; Machine learning; Kernel functions; Quantization

#### 19 1. Introduction

Large collections of digital images are being created in different fields and many applicative contexts. Some of these collections are the product of digitizing existing collections of analogue photographs, paintings, etc., and others result from digital acquisitions. Potential applications include web searching, cultural heritage, geographic information systems, biomedicine, surveillance systems, etc.

The traditional way of searching these collections is by 27 keyword indexing, or simply by browsing. Digital image 28 databases however, open the way to content-based search-29 ing. Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR) has attracted a 30 lot of research interest in recent years. A common scheme 31 to search the database is to automatically extract different 32 types of features (usually color, texture, etc.) structured 33 34 into descriptors (indexes). These indexes are then used in

Understand. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.cviu.2007.09.018

a search engine strategy to compare, classify, rank, etc., the images.

Major sources of difficulties in CBIR are the variable imaging conditions, the complex and hard-to-describe image content, and the gap between arrays of numbers representing images and conceptual information perceived by humans. In CBIR field, the semantic gap usually refers to this separation between the low-level information extracted from images and the semantics [1,2]: the user is looking for one image or an image set representing a concept, for instance, a type of landscape, whereas current processing strategies deal with color or texture features!

Learning is definitively considered as the most interest-47 ing issue to reduce the semantic gap. Different learning 48 strategies, such as offline supervised learning, online active 49 learning, semi-supervised, etc., may be considered to 50 improve the efficiency of retrieval systems. Some offline 51 learning methods focus on the feature extraction or on 52 the similarity function improvement. Using experiments, 53 a similarity function may be trained in order to better rep-54 resent the distance between semantic categories [3]. Thanks 55 to local primitives and descriptors, such as salient points or 56

Please cite this article in press as: P.H. Gosselin et al., Combining visual dictionary, kernel-based similarity ..., Comput. Vis. Image

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +33 1 30 73 66 27.

*E-mail addresses:* gosselin@ensea.fr (P.H. Gosselin), matthieu.cord @lip6.fr (M. Cord).

<sup>1077-3142/\$ -</sup> see front matter 0 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cviu.2007.09.018

anuary 2008 Disk Used

115

119

120

121

122

123

2

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

57 regions, supervised learning may be introduced to learn object or region categories [4,5]. The classification function 58 is next used to retrieve images from the learned category in 59 large databases. Other strategies focus on the online learn-60 61 ing to reduce the semantic gap [6,7]. Interactive systems ask the user to conduct search within the database. The infor-62 63 mation provided by the user is exploited by the system in a relevance feedback loop to improve the system effective-64 ness. Online retrieval techniques are mainly of two types: 65 geometrical and statistical. The geometrical methods refer 66 to search-by-similarity or query-by-example (OBE) sys-67 tems, based on calculation of a similarity between a query 68 and the images of the database [8,9]. Recently, machine-69 learning approaches have been introduced in computer 70 vision and CBIR context and have been very successful 71 [10,11]. Discrimination methods (from statistical learning) 72 may significantly improve the effectiveness of visual infor-73 mation retrieval tasks [12]. 74

In this paper, we introduce our general strategy RETIN 75 to manage indexing and category retrieval by content in 76 77 large image databases. Some modules concern the indexing 78 step and other ones learning strategies based on offline or 79 online supervising. A first version of our system has been already published [13]. We propose here a new generation 80 of RETIN. In the manner of Fayyad description of the 81 challenges of data mining and knowledge discovery [14], 82 our whole context of visual data mining is summarized 83 on Fig. 1. Starting from raw data, the first challenge is to 84 extract visual descriptors and to structure them into 85 indexes, i.e., visual signatures. The indexing step is com-86 posed by a new scheme to get visual signatures from 87 images. Let us say that this is the low level of analysis. 88 The comparison between the indexes is carried out using 89 kernel framework. Searching with user interaction allows 90 to extract subsets of relevant images from the database. 91 A machine-learning-oriented scheme is proposed to embed 92 all the modules of the search in a coherent and efficient 93 94 framework. This is the intermediate level of abstraction 95 and data mining. To go further towards the knowledge

extraction and database structuring, a semantic learning 96 scheme is also proposed (Fig. 1). All the former user interactions are used to progressively learn data clusters in the 98 database. This is our high level or semantic level of data 99 analysis. 100

We emphasize in this article the global efficiency and 101 consistency of our search engine architecture to deal with 102 complex category retrieval in large databases. Some specific 103 contributions are also proposed in each part. For indexing, 104 the computing of visual codebooks is a real challenge, we 105 propose an original two-step vectorization scheme in Sec-106 tion 2. The similarity between images is the core of the 107 search, we propose a kernel framework to manage this 108 aspect in Section 3. It allows us to propose a powerful 109 online learning strategy motivated by recent machine-110 learning developments such as active or transductive learn-111 ing, presented in Section 4. Offline supervised learning is 112 also embedded in our kernel framework, our innovative 113 long-term learning strategy is presented in Section 5. 114

### 2. Visual codebook-based quantization

Building a visual codebook is an effective way of extract-116ing the relevant visual content of an image database, which117is used by most of the retrieval systems.118

A first approach is to perform a *static* clustering, like [15] where 166 regular colors are *a priori* defined. These techniques directly provide an index and a similarity for comparing images, but the visual codebook is far from being optimal, except in very specific applications.

A second approach is to perform a *dynamic* clustering, 124 using a clustering algorithm, such as k-means. In this case, 125 the visual codebook is adapted to the image database. 126 When using color features, this strategy extracts the domi-127 nant colors in the database [16]. Using a k-means algorithm 128 leads to a sub-optimal codebook, where codewords are 129 under- or over-representing the visual content. An usual 130 way to find a good visual codebook is to train several times 131 the clustering algorithm and to merge the codebooks or to 132



Fig. 1. An overview of the steps that compose the RETIN process. Working on the raw data, low-level processes consist in extracting visual features and signatures. Consolidated level focus on similarity and online learning of image categories using user interaction. High level semantic analysis deeply exploits users' feedbacks to reduce the semantic gap.

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

keep the best one. However, because of the large number of
vectors to be clustered, this strategy has a very high cost in
computational time.

In this section, we first study new alternatives to the 136 137 standard k-means algorithm, and select the most efficient in terms of efficiency and time cost. Next, we address the 138 139 problem of the quantization of a very large number of vectors, where standard clustering algorithm cannot be 140 directly applied, since the whole vector set cannot be stored 141 in memory. In this last subsection, we propose a clustering 142 algorithm which leads to a near to optimal codebook with 143 only one training pass. 144

# 145 2.1. Low-level feature extraction

146 In order to build the visual codebook, we first need a 147 large set  $V = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m}$  of feature vectors extracted from 148 the images of the database. In this paper, we use two visual 149 features:

• Color from  $CIEL^*a^*b^*$  space. Each pixel of coordinates (x, y) is converted to a  $L^*a^*b^*$  vector of dimension 3, i.e., pixel(x, y) $\mapsto (L^*(x, y)a^*(x, y) \ b^*(x, y))^T$ .

• Texture from complex Gabor filters. We process each 153 image of the database with 12 complex Gabor filters, 154 155 in three scales and four orientations. The output of these 12 filters provide 12 images  $F_1, \ldots, F_{12}$ . For each pixel of 156 coordinates (x, y), we consider the vector of 12 dimen-157 sions whose values correspond to the 12 filter outputs 158 at the same coordinates (x, y). That is to say 159  $\operatorname{pixel}(x, y) \mapsto (F_1(x, y) \dots F_{12}(x, y))^{\mathsf{T}}.$ 160

161

### 162 *2.2. Dynamic quantization*

163 Vector quantization aims at finding the optimal set  $W^{\star} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{\kappa}\}$  of codewords able to represent a set 164 165  $V = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m}$  of vectors. This issue is solved by splitting the set V into clusters. Each vector will then be represented 166 by the closest vector of W,  $q_W(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{argmin} d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ , for a 167 given distance d (usually the Euclidean distance). The prob-168 169 lem can be addressed as an optimization problem which aims at minimizing the *distortion* of each cluster: 170

$$W^{\star} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{W} D_{W}(V) \tag{1}$$

173 where the distortion of a set V for a codebook W is defined 174 by:

$$D_{W}(V) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V} d(\mathbf{v}, q_{W}(\mathbf{v}))^{2}$$
(2)

The distortion measures the average squared distance between a vector **v** and its corresponding codeword  $q_W(\mathbf{v})$ . Minimizing this criterion aims at getting compact and equidistributed clusters.

182 The optimization problem addressed by vector quanti-183 zation is not convex – this means that the algorithm must find the global minimum between multiple local minima. 184 The success of convergence is mainly determined by the ini-185 tial codebook. The standard k-means algorithm uses a ran-186 dom initial codebook, and thus converges to a local 187 minimum. Improvements about the initialization have been 188 proposed, like the k-means splitting or LBG [17]. The algo-189 rithm starts with only one codeword, and step after step, 190 splits the clusters into two sub-clusters. Patanè proposes 191 ELBG, an enhanced LBG algorithm, that introduces a 192 heuristic in order to jump from a local minimum to a better 193 one [18]. This heuristic swaps codewords so that their 194 respective distortions are as much equal as possible. 195

We implemented and compared the three methods for the quantization of the RGB vectors of the images of the ANN database (see Appendix). Fig. 2 shows the results in terms of average PSNR (log value of the distortion), and the average computation time for the quantization in 256 colors of one image. PSNR values are of the same order, slightly better for ELBG than for LBG and standard *k*-means, but ELBG is much faster than LBG (4 times) and faster than standard *k*-means. For those reasons we have adopted the ELBG algorithm in our large quantization process.

# 2.3. Quantization of large datasets

The second problem is the large amount of samples to classify. As it is impossible to put all pixels in memory at the same time, the method has to be progressive, that is to say able to manage data part by part.

Adaptive k-means processes samples one by one. This method imposes that samples are processed in the most possible random way. But this condition is hard to obtain in image indexing, since for time constraints, pixels cannot be processed completely randomly. At least for run-time and practical reasons, it is better to process each image as a whole.

Fournier [19] performs an adaptive *k*-means by subsampling each image: only a tenth of the pixels of each image randomly chosen are processed. To compensate this sub-sampling, images are processed 10 times.

We propose an adaptive quantization by *k*-means in two stages, both performing ELBG method:

- The first stage quantizes each image.
- The second stage quantizes the whole database from the dictionaries obtained at the first stage.

Method	PSNR(dB)	$\operatorname{Time}(\operatorname{sec})$
k-means	$37.87 \pm 2.76$	$12.35 \pm 1.55$
LBG	$37.90 \pm 2.57$	$31.99 \pm 11.03$
ELBG	$38.69 \pm 2.82$	$8.49 \pm 1.27$

Fig. 2. Performances and computational time of the quantization methods.

202203204205

206 207

208

209

210

211

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

251

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

The advantage is that each image is independently pro-229 cessed in the first stage and even in a parallel way. The 230 number of codewords in that stage can be of a rather large 231 size (at least greater than any desired codebook for now 232 and the future). The set of feature vectors  $V_i$  of image *i* 233 are computed and quantized using ELBG in  $\kappa$  codewords. 234 The codebook for image *i* is denoted  $W_i = \{\mathbf{w}_i^j, i = 1, \dots, j \}$ 235 ...,  $\kappa$ }. In the second stage, the set  $\{W_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$  is 236 clustered into the expected number of codewords with 237 ELBG classifier. To take into account the fact that images 238 can be of various sizes, the distortion of any class C, repre-239 sented by  $w_C$  is modified in Eq. (2) by adjunction of a 240 weighting coefficient equal to the cardinality of the class. 241 So after the first stage, we have for each image *i* the set 242 of codewords  $\{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{j}, j = 1, ..., \kappa\}$  and the set of correspond-243 ing weights  $\{z_i^j, j = 1, \dots, \kappa\}$ , where  $z_i^j$  is the cardinal of 244 class *i* in image *i*. 245

So the formula for distortion of  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\mathbf{w}_i^j, z_i^j)\}$  becomes: 246

248 
$$\widetilde{D}(\widetilde{X}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{i} z_{i}^{j} \times d(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{j}, q(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{j}))^{2}$$
(3)

and the computation of codewords becomes: 249

$$\mathbf{w}_{C_j} = \frac{\sum_{i \in C_j} z'_i \times \mathbf{w}'_i}{\sum_{i \in C_j} \mathbf{z}^j_i}$$

#### 2.4. Image signature computation 252

Once a codebook W has been generated, unique for the 253 whole database, the histogram  $H_i$  of image *i* is computed 254 for each visual feature. We replace each feature vector 255  $\mathbf{v}(x, y)$  corresponding to pixel (x, y) with the closest code-256 word  $q_W(\mathbf{v}(x, y))$  in the codebook. Next, we count the num-257 258 ber of times each codeword is present in the image to build the histogram. The histogram is finally normalized to get a 259 distribution vector  $\mathbf{d}_i = H_i / ||H_i||_{L_1}$ . The image signature  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is then the concatenation  $(\mathbf{d}_i^{\text{feature2}} \ \mathbf{d}_i^{\text{feature2}} \ l \dots)^{\mathsf{T}}$  of distri-260 261 butions for all visual features (in this paper, color and 262 263 textures).

264 The final step is the tuning of the size of the visual codebooks, that we study in the next section. 265

#### 2.5. Experiments 266

The adaptive classification of Fournier [19] and our two-267 stage method are compared in Figs. 3 and 4 on the Corel 268 Photo database (see Appendix for details). 269

Although we have used the distortion and the time cost 270 criteria to select the quantization method in the first stage 271 of our algorithm, we use here the Mean Average Precision 272 (see Appendix for definition) in order to evaluate the per-273 formances of a codebook in the CBIR context. Indeed, this 274 275 statistic is used a lot in information retrieval framework.

For Fournier's method, the complete quantization must 276 be done again for each codebook size. For our method, 277 ELBG is first computed to get a quantization of each image 278



Fig. 3. Color quantization into 6, 12, 25, 50, 100 and 200 codewords  $(L^{\star}a^{\star}b^{\star})$  with Fournier's adaptive quantization method, and our twostage method.



Fig. 4. Texture quantization into 6, 12, 25, 50, 100 and 200 codewords (Gabor filters), with the adaptive quantization method of Fournier, and the two-stage method we propose.

into 256 image-dependent codewords. The codewords and their weights are then clustered by the second stage with ELBG.

Concerning color codebooks, both methods are close, with a small advantage for our method. Both methods have a maximal MAP for 50 codewords. Concerning texture quantization, the proposed method clearly outperforms Fournier's one. The global maximum is also obtained for 50 codewords. Another interest is the time saving with our method, which is much faster than Fournier's one, since the first stage can be achieved in parallel on several 289 machines. As the quantization in 25 codewords almost 290 reaches the same performances as the quantization in 50 291 codewords, for signatures twice smaller and a time saving, 292 we have opted for a quantization into 25 codewords for 293 color and 25 codewords for texture. 294

279

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

These experiments also show the interest of our method for tuning the size of the visual codebook. Assuming that we have *a priori* knowledge about the categories likely to be searched, several visual codebooks of various sizes can be easily computed and evaluated since only the second step of the algorithm is necessary.

Furthermore, the two stages allow a fast adding/ removal of images in the database. When adding new images, only the computation of their visual descriptors and the second stage of the method are required to compute the new codebook.

#### 306 **3. Similarity using kernel functions**

Once signatures are computed, a metric or a similarity function has to be defined to compare images.

Basically, the Euclidean distance is used to compute the 309 similarity between histograms, or more generally a Min-310 kowski distance. However, these metrics are not necessary 311 312 relevant for histograms. Alternatives have been proposed, such as histogram intersections [20], entropy [21,22], or  $\chi^2$ 313 distance [23]. These metrics independently compare each 314 value of the histograms, and do not address the problem 315 of correlation between axes. More robust metrics have 316 been proposed to solve this, like in [24], Earth Mover's Dis-317 318 tance [25], or generalized quadratic distances T A (1) 1,

319 
$$(d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)).$$

Whenever these metrics are efficient for histograms, they all lead to a non-linear problem, and, most of the time, particular learning techniques must be developed to use them. In order to use powerful learning techniques that have been recently introduced [26], we have chosen to use kernel functions.

# 326 3.1. Kernel framework

The approach consists in finding a mapping  $\Phi$  from input space X (here our histogram space) to a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, once found this mapping, all the addressed learning problems become linear. Furthermore, we do not directly work on the mapped vectors, but on their dot products  $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \rangle$ .

In our case, since we are working on histograms, an 333 interesting kernel function is the Gaussian one 334  $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = e^{-\frac{d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ . This function depends on a distance 335  $d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i)$ , which allows us to pickup one of the distances 336 for histograms. For instance, we can use the  $\chi^2$  distance 337  $d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_{r=1}^{p} \frac{(x_{ri} - x_{rj})^2}{x_{ri} + x_{rj}}$ . Note that we could use more 338 robust distances, such as the Earth Mover's Distance 339 [25], but this leads to a too high computational cost for 340 341 the processing of huge databases.

In order to evaluate the interest of this kernel against the
standard ones, we have compared their performances for a
SVM classifier (see Appendix for details). Results are

shown on Fig. 5. The linear kernel, which can be seen as 345 the "no kernel" strategy, gives the worst performances. It 346 is followed by the polynomial kernel (of degree 3), which 347 was originally tuned for the tracking of high-level correla-348 tions of data. Close to this one is the Gaussian kernel, with 349 an Euclidean distance, and next is the triangle kernel, 350 which is invariant to scale variation. Finally, the Gaussian 351 kernel with a  $\chi^2$  distance gives the best performances, 352 results which are consistent with the use of histograms as 353 index. Thus, in the following experiments, we will use a 354 Gaussian kernel with a  $\chi^2$  distance. 355

Note that, although the Gaussian distance  $\chi^2$  is the most interesting for our indexes, it will be no longer true on nonhistograms ones. However, assuming that one can find a kernel function relevant for one's indexes, all the results about the learning techniques we present in the next sections are still valid, since they are made to work in a Hilbert space induced by a kernel function.

In any cases, we assume that we are working in a Hilbert 364 space. Then, several standard operators may be expressed 365 using k, as for instance, the Euclidean distance  $d(\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i))$ , 366  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)^2 = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i) + k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i) - 2k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i)$  [27]. Similarity s 367 may also be defined as the dot product in the induced space 368  $s(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$ . But other measures, as for instance, the 369 angle between two vectors, may be used, for instance, 370  $s(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \frac{|k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)|}{\sqrt{k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i)k(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_j)}}.$ 371

We use kernel function k as the similarity function, and kernel matrix **K** defined by  $K_{ij} = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$  as the similarity matrix. As k is a kernel function, matrix **K** is symmetric and semi-definite positive (sdp), that is to say a Gram matrix. This matrix embeds the index information  $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$  and the similarity function k related to 377



Fig. 5. Mean Average Precision (%) for a classification by SVM according to the number of training data, for several kernel functions on the Corel Photo database.

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

the whole database. All the data mining processes, classification ranking, and so on, are only based on this Gram
matrix data. The advantage of this framework is then to
well separate the learning problem from the similarity
definition.

We propose in the next section online learning algorithms before introducing an extended kernel framework merging the low-level similarity matrix **K** with high-level information obtained from user interaction.

#### **4.** Active classification for interactive retrieval

Indexes and similarity function allow to compare any 388 pair of images. In CBIR, the retrieval may be initialized 389 using a query as an example. The top rank similar images 390 are then presented to the user. Next, the interactive process 391 allows the user to refine his request as much as necessary. 392 Many kinds of interaction between the user and the system 393 have been proposed [28], but most of the time, user infor-394 mation consists of binary annotations (labels) indicating 395 whether or not the image belongs to the desired category. 396 The positive labels indicate *relevant* images for the searched 397 398 category, and the negative labels irrelevant images.

In document retrieval framework, a strategy is to con-399 sider the *query concept*. The aim of this strategy is to refine 400 the query according to the user labeling. A simple 401 approach, called *query modification*, computes a new query 402 403 by averaging the feature vectors of relevant images [1]. Another approach, the query reweighting, consists in com-404 405 puting a new similarity function between the query and a picture in the database. A usual heuristic is to weight the 406 axes of the feature space [29]. In order to perform a better 407 refinement of the similarity function, optimization-based 408 409 techniques can be used. They are based on a mathematical criterion for computing the reweighting, for instance, Bayes 410 411 error [30], or average quadratic error [31,32]. Although these techniques are efficient for target search and mono-412 413 modal category retrieval, they have difficulties to track complex image categories. 414

Performing an estimation of the query concept can be 415 seen as a statistical learning problem, and more precisely 416 as a binary classification task between the relevant and 417 irrelevant classes [12]. In image retrieval, many techniques 418 419 based on statistical learning have been proposed, for instance, Bayes classification [33], k-Nearest Neighbors 420 [34], Support Vector Machines [28,12,11,35], Gaussian 421 Mixtures [36], or Gaussian random fields [37]. 422

### 423 4.1. Statistical learning approach

424 We have chosen a statistical learning approach for the 425 RETIN system because of its capacity to retrieve complex 426 categories. This capacity is in part due to the possibility to 427 work with kernel functions, with all the advantages we 428 described in the previous sections.

However, a lot of strategies consider CBIR as a pure classification problem, and thus are not fully adapted to the special characteristics of this context. For instance,431we have shown in a previous paper [38] that the few train-432ing data and the imbalance of the classes lead to a noisy433boundary.434

We summarize here the characteristics of our context:

- High dimension. Feature vectors are usually large 436 (from 100 to 1000), which leads to the problem 137 named as the curse of dimensionality.
- (2) Complex classes. As image categories are unknown beforehand, it is difficult to make high assumptions about the distribution of the data. For instance, an usual Gaussian distribution assumption is rarely true. As a result, images of a given category can be dispatched in several small clusters. 444
- (3) *Imbalance of data.* The size of the relevant class is very small against the size of the database (generally 100 times smaller). Thus, the context is fairly different from classification problems where both classes have a close size.
- (4) *Few training data.* At the beginning of a retrieval session, the system must return results with very few labels. Furthermore, users will not give more than some hundreds of labels. As a result, the size of the training set is usually at most 1% of the database size.
- (5) *Interactive learning*. The training set is built step by
   step, and each result depends on the previous ones.
   457
- (7) Computation time and scalability. Our aim is to 463 propose a system which can be used for real appli-464 cations. Thus, we need fast methods, as we cannot 465 ask a non-expert user to wait for several minutes 466 between each feedback step. A common way to 467 define a fast and scalable method is to bound its 468 computational complexity to O(n), where *n* is the 469 size of the database. 470
  - 471

472

473

477

478

480

435

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

#### 4.2. A comparison of classification methods for CBIR

The following methods have been evaluated:

- Similarity refinement [32]. 474
- Bayes classification [33]. 475
- *k*-Nearest Neighbors [34]. 476
- Support Vector Machines [12].
- Transductive Support Vector Machines [39].
- Kernel Fisher Discriminant [40]. 479

The results in terms of Mean Average Precision are481shown on Fig. 6, except for the TSVM and KFD which482give results very close to inductive SVMs. One can see that483

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. Mean Average Precision (%) according to the size of the training set.

the statistical methods give the best results, showing their 484 485 interest towards geometric methods, like the similarity refinement. This also shows the interest of kernel-based 486 487 methods in order to deal with the high dimensions (1) and the complex classes (2), since each of these methods 488 489 (except the geometric one) are able to build efficient classifiers. In the sequel, we will use the SVM as the best method 490 in this context, and because of its simple mathematical 491 492 framework (hyperplan classifiers).

#### 493 Q2 4.3. RETIN active learning method

In order to deal with the imbalance of classes (3), the few 494 training data (4) and the interaction with a user (5), we 495 have opted for an active learning strategy. This strategy, 496 which is already used in text [41] and image [42] retrieval, 497 addresses the problem of the *selection* of the most interest-498 ing images the user should label. In the first retrieval sys-499 tems, a common strategy was to label the most relevant 500 images. However, it has been shown that a different selec-501 tion can lead to significantly better results [43]. 502

We propose an active learning scheme to interact with a 503 user searching for an image concept in the database. The 504 process selects at each feedback step a set  $I^{\star}$  of q images, 505 displayed to the user for labeling. 506

Let  $\mathbf{X} = {\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n}$  be the image signatures, and 507 508  $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  the user labels  $(y_i = 1 \text{ if relevant}, y_i = -1)$ if irrelevant,  $y_i = 0$  if unlabeled). The examples are the 509 images  $i \in I$  with a non-zero label, i.e., couples  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$ 510 where  $y_i \neq 0$ . 511

#### 512 4.3.1. Initialization

A retrieval session is initialized from one image given by the 513 514 user. The top similar pictures are then displayed to the user.

#### 515 4.3.2. Classification

A binary classifier is trained with the examples the user 516 has given. We use a SVM with a Gaussian  $\chi^2$  kernel (cf. 517

Section 3). The result is a function  $f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$  which returns 518 the relevance of each image x, after a training with exam-519 ples  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i), i \in I$ . 520

#### 4.3.3. Correction

We have shown in a previous paper that the classifier boundary is usually noisy during the first feedback step, because of scarcity of training samples (4) and the imbalance of classes (5) [38]. We propose to add an active correction of the boundary, which aims at translating the classifier boundary to an area of the feature space where the labels are the most uncertain. Details about this method can be found in [38].

### 4.3.4. Selection

When the user is not satisfied with the current classification, the system selects a set of images the user should label. The selection will be such as the labeling of those images will give the best performances. We divide the selection into three steps.

The first step aims at reducing the computational time (7), by pre-selecting some hundreds of pictures which may be in the optimal selection set. We propose to preselect a set indexed by J of the closest pictures to the (corrected) boundary. This process is computed very fast, and the uncertainty-based selection method has proved its interest in CBIR context.

The second step is the computation of the selection criterion. In active classification, the criterion is the minimization of the error of classification (or risk). In these cases, the risk is computed for each classification function  $f_{\mathbf{x},t(\mathbf{x}_i)}$ , which is trained with the label  $t(\mathbf{x}_i)$  of an unlabeled image  $i \notin I$  added to current training set y. Finally, the selected image  $i^{\star}$  is the one which minimizes the risk:

$$t^{\star} = \underset{i \notin I}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{risk}(f_{\mathbf{y}, t(\mathbf{x}_i)})$$
551

The main difficulty of this task is the fact that the label 552  $t(\mathbf{x}_i)$  is unknown, and an estimation is required. This estimation is replaced by a *cost* function denoted  $g_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ , and 554 including the pre-selection, the problem can be written as: 555

$$i^{\star} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{i \in I} g_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
557

Pure active classification techniques aim at minimizing the classification error. However, in our context, our aim is to optimize the image ranking, which can be modeled by the Mean Average Precision. Although decreasing classification error also increases the MAP, we have shown that the direct maximization of the MAP is more efficient [44]. Thus, we propose a precision-oriented cost function, which selects the images around the boundary that will increase the most this criterion. Details about this method can be found in [44].

The third step of active selection computes the batch selection. As we focus on real-time applications, we use a fast method close to the angle diversity [45]. The method

7

522 523

524 525 526

527 528 529

530 531

532 533

534 535

536 537 538

539 540

541

542

543 544

545

546 547

548 549

553

559 560 561

562

558

563 564 565

566 567 568

569

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

571 selects *q* images using the previously computed cost  $g_y(\mathbf{x}_i)$ , 572 and returns the set  $I^*$  of image indexes proposed for 573 labeling:

$$I^{\star} = \{\}$$
  
for  $l \in 1, ..., q$   
 $i^{\star} = \underset{i \in J - I^{\star}}{\operatorname{argmin}} (g_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \underset{j \in I \cup I^{\star}}{\max} s(\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{j})))$   
 $I^{\star} = I^{\star} \cup \{i^{\star}\}$ 

575 endfor

8

where  $s(\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j))$  is the similarity between images  $\mathbf{x}_i$ and  $\mathbf{x}_j$ .

### 578 4.3.5. Feedback

579 The user labels the selected images, and a new classifica-580 tion and correction are performed.

581 The process is repeated as many times as necessary.

#### 582 4.4. Experiments

An example of retrieval session is presented on Fig. 7. 583 The interface is compound of three sub-parts. The main 584 585 one at the top left displays the current ranking of the database. For instance, on Fig. 7, we can see the closest pictures 586 to the one brought by the user (top left, with a small green 587 square). The sub-part at the bottom displays the current 588 selection of the active learner. The user can give new labels 589 590 by clicking the left or right mouse button. Once new labels are given, the user can ask for an update, and the new rank-591 ing is displayed in the main part. The right sub-part dis-592 plays information about one image. 593

We show on Fig. 8 the 50 most relevant pictures after 594 three and five iterations of 5 labels for the concept "roses", 595 starting with the query of Fig. 7. One can see that the sys-596 tem is able to retrieve the images of the concept, while dis-597 criminating pictures with close visual characteristics. For 598 instance, several non-rose pictures with very close colors 599 and textures returned at the beginning of the search (cf. 600 Fig. 7) are no more high-ranked five iterations later, while 601 the relevant ones are still present (cf. Fig. 8). 602

#### 4.5. Statistical evaluation

The RETIN active method introduced in this paper is compared to uncertainty-based methods: Tong  $SVM_{active}$  [42], and Roy and McCallum method that aims at minimizing the error of generalization [41]. A non-active method, which randomly selects the images, is also considered for comparison. 609

The performances are evaluated by simulating the use of 610 the system. For each simulation, an image category is ran-611 domly chosen and 100 images of the category are selected 612 using one of the learning methods. After each SVM classi-613 fication of the database, the Mean Average Precision is 614 computed. These simulations are repeated many times in 615 order to compute the mean and the standard deviation of 616 the MAP (see Appendix for details). The results of the 617 experiments are shown in Fig. 9. 618

First, one can see the benefit of active learning in our context. In these experiments, the gain goes from 11% to 15%. The method which aims at minimizing the error of generalization is the less efficient active learning method. The most efficient method is RETIN active learning method, especially in the first iterations, where the number of samples is the smallest. About computational time per feedback, the SVM<sub>active</sub> method needs at most 22 ms, the method of Roy and McCallum several minutes, and the RETIN method at most 45 ms.

We ran simulations with the same protocol that in the<br/>previous section, but changed the number of labels per<br/>feedback. In order to get comparable results, we ensure<br/>that the size of the training set at the end of a retrieval ses-<br/>sion is always the same:629<br/>630631<br/>632632

- 30 feedbacks of 4 labels; 634
- 15 feedbacks of 8 labels; 635
- 8 feedbacks of 15 labels; 636
- 4 feedbacks of 30 labels. 637

We compute the precision/recall curves for all the concepts of the database. Results for the "savanna" concept are shown in Fig. 10; let us note that all concepts gave 641



(a) First iteration

(b) Second iteration

Fig. 7. RETIN User Interface. Main part: ranked retrieved images; right part: miscellaneous information about one image; bottom part: images selected by active learning.

Please cite this article in press as: P.H. Gosselin et al., Combining visual dictionary, kernel-based similarity ..., Comput. Vis. Image Understand. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.cviu.2007.09.018

603

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

9

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx



(a) 3 feedbacks

(b) 5 feedbacks

Fig. 8. The 75 most relevant pictures for the concept "roses". A small green square indicates an image labeled as relevant, and a red one an image labeled as irrelevant. (a) Top rank after three iterations of 5 labels. (b) Top rank after five iterations of 5 labels. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this paper.)



Fig. 9. Mean Average Precision (%) for different active learners.

similar results modulo a scaling factor. As one can see on
this figure, the more there is feedback steps, the more performances are increased. Increasing feedback steps leads to



Fig. 10. Precision/Recall curves for the concept "savanna" on the Corel Photo database.

more classification updates, which allows a better correction and selection. 645 646

10

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

#### 647 5. Semantic kernel learning

Relevance feedback and active learning increase the sys-648 tem performances, but only during the current retrieval ses-649 sion. Once the session is over, labels are discarded. The 650 purpose of this section is to present how the RETIN learn-651 652 ing system uses all the labels accumulated during previous interactive sessions to improve the feature representation of 653 the images. With such an optimized representation, we 654 attempt to get a better match with semantic concepts. 655 The labels are sampled from a hidden concept that the user 656 has in mind during his retrieval session. Thus, if a large 657 number of labels are available thanks to several retrieval 658 sessions, their combinations should make the hidden con-659 cepts stand out. 660

Let us note *semantics* the whole information (users' annotations) accumulated during many retrieval sessions.
Different strategies may be used to learn information about the database from this *semantics*:

665 – Some approaches deal with feature selection or compe666 tition [46]. The Latent Semantic Index and its kernel ver667 sion have been proposed to model the correlation
668 between feature variables [47].

Other approaches compute and store a similarity matrix. 669 A lot of approaches are based on the Kernel Alignment 670 [48]. The idea is to adapt a kernel matrix (which is a par-671 ticular similarity matrix) considering user labeling. This 672 problem can be solved using semi-definite programming<sup>1</sup> 673 [49]. However, it has been designed mostly for transduc-674 tion and clustering, i.e., two-class problems. For general 675 database searches, there are many concepts or categories, 676 overlapping each other. Some methods, building and 677 updating a similarity matrix, have been experimented 678 [50]. Usually, there is no assumption about the properties 679 of the similarity matrix. For instance, the updated matrix 680 may lost the induced metric properties. Moreover, these 681 682 similarity matrix-based approaches have also a high computational cost. The memory complexity is at least  $O(n^2)$ , 683 where *n* is the number of images in the database. 684

686 Our semantic learning RETIN strategy is based on a 687 kernel matrix adaptation, and is designed to model mixed 688 categories. We also manage the complexity constraint 689 using efficient eigenvalue matrix decomposition; the 690 method has a O(n) complexity and memory need, and so 691 it is applicable to large databases.

692 5.1. Adaptive approach

685

Let us note  $\mathbf{K}_t$  the kernel matrix after t - 1 retrieval sessions. Matrix  $\mathbf{K}_t$  is symmetric and semi-definite positive sdp (cf. 3.2). We propose algebraic transformations always keeping the sdp property of the kernel matrix. The labels provided at session *t* are stored in vector  $\mathbf{y}_t$  of 597 size *n*, with 1 for relevant images, -1 for irrelevant images, 698 and 0 for unlabeled images. After several uses of the system, the label sets can be gathered in a matrix such as 700 the following one where each column represents a retrieval session: 702

	$\mathbf{y}_1$	$\mathbf{y}_2$	$\mathbf{y}_3$	$\mathbf{y}_4$	$\mathbf{y}_5$	$\mathbf{y}_6$	$\mathbf{y}_7$	
$\mathbf{x}_1$	1	1	0	0	-1	1	0	
$\mathbf{x}_2$	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	
$\mathbf{x}_3$	1	0	1	-1	0	0	0	
$\mathbf{x}_4$	0	-1	1	0	0	-1	0	
$\mathbf{x}_5$	-1	0	0	1	1	-1	0	
$\mathbf{x}_6$	0	0	-1	0	1	0	-1	
÷	:	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	

704

708

709 710

Labels give partial information about the category the705user has in mind, a large majority of images is unlabeled706for a given  $\mathbf{y}_t$ .707

After retrieval process t, the current kernel matrix  $\mathbf{K}_t$  is updated using the following expression:

$$\mathbf{K}_{t+1} = (1 - \rho)\mathbf{K}_t + \rho \times \operatorname{merge}(\mathbf{K}_t, \mathbf{y}_t)$$
(4) 712

where  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  is the system attentiveness, and 713 merge( $\mathbf{K}_t, \mathbf{y}_t$ ) is an operator that returns a matrix containing the semantics from the previous sessions ( $\mathbf{K}_t$ ) and the 715 current session  $\mathbf{y}_t$ . This matrix must be sdp so that  $\mathbf{K}_{t+1}$  716 keeps the sdp property. 717

#### 5.2. Merging semantics of the previous and current sessions 718

Our first aim is both to increase the similarity between 719 positive labeled images, and to decrease the similarity 720 between negative and positive labeled images. For this, 721 we add the following kernel to the current one: 722

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{u}_{t}} = \mathbf{u}_{t}(\mathbf{u}_{t})^{\mathsf{T}} \text{ with } u_{ti} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{ti} > 0 \\ -\gamma & \text{if } y_{ti} < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
724

Parameter  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  handles the increasing of similarity 725 between negative labeled images.<sup>2</sup>  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{u}_t}$  is a sdp matrix 726 because of rank 1 with one positive eigenvalue  $(||\mathbf{u}_t||^2)$ . 727

Our second aim is to average the similarities between all the positive labeled images. For that, we add the matrix 729  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{K}_t\mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}}$  to the current kernel matrix, with  $\mathbf{T}_t$  a  $n \times n$  matrix. 730 To simplify the notation, let us consider that the  $q_+$  first values of  $\mathbf{y}_t$  are the positive ones. The matrix  $\mathbf{T}_t$  is expressed as: 732

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Semi-definite programming allows efficient algorithms.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  In a multiple category context, negative labeled images are usually not in the same category. Thus in this case a small value (0.1) of  $\gamma$  is preferable.

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

$$\mathbf{T}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q_{+}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{+}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{q_{+}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{+}} \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

734

It is also easy to prove the sdp property of  $\mathbf{T}_t \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{T}_t^{\mathsf{T}}$ , if  $\mathbf{K}_t$ is sdp, using the following property: **M** is sdp  $\iff$  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} \ge 0$ .

As a result, the merging operator is:

741 merge(
$$\mathbf{K}_t, \mathbf{y}_t$$
) =  $\mathbf{T}_t \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{T}_t^{\mathsf{T}} + b \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{u}_t}$  (5)

with  $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  so that diagonal terms of  $\mathbf{T}_t \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{T}_t^{\mathsf{T}} + b \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{u}_t}$  equal 1.

743 5.3. Final operator

From Eqs. (4) and (5), the RETIN matrix kernel updating the semantic learning is:

747 
$$\mathbf{K}_{t+1} = (1-\rho)\mathbf{K}_t + \rho a(\mathbf{T}_t \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{T}_t^{\mathsf{T}} + b\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{u}_t})$$
(6)

Parameters *a* and *b* control the matrix progression during iterations.

### 750 5.4. Semantic kernel computation

We use a low-rank approximation matrix  $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{t}$ , in order to 751 have a storage linear to the size of the database. As the kernel 752 matrix is real and symmetric, we are able to compute its eig-753 endecomposition. The approximation consists in keeping 754 the p largest eigenvalues. Thus, assuming that  $p \ll n$ , the 755 storage of  $\mathbf{K}_t$  is O(n). Note that using this approximation, 756 the kernel matrix can be seen as a linear kernel on the vectors 757 of  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}\sqrt{\Lambda}$ , where  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{V}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}$  is the eigendecomposition of  $\mathbf{K}$ . 758 The direct computation of  $\mathbf{K}_{t+1}$  is  $O(n^2)$ . We use a fac-759 760 torization technique for the computation of the eigenspectrum of  $\mathbf{K}_{t+1}$ . The factorization is followed by a QR 761 decomposition and the computation of the eigenspectrum 762 of a very small matrix (compared to n). This method has 763 a O(n) complexity. 764

#### 765 5.5. Experiments

We compared the method proposed in this paper to a
distance learning method [51] on the Corel Photo database
(see Appendix for details).

The semantic kernel matrix is initialized using the color and Gabor signatures previously introduced:

$$\mathbf{K}_{t=0} = \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X}$$

with  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_i)_{i \in [1,n]}$  the  $p \times n$  distribution matrix, for which each column  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a vector representation of the *i*th image of the database.

In the following simulations, and for each semantic learning method, we optimize the kernel matrix using from 100 to 500 label sets of 100 non-zeros values. For each kernel, system performances are evaluated with the Mean Average Precision (cf. Appendix). Note that here we used a Gaussian L2 instead of the  $\chi^2$ , since the resulting new feature vectors have negative values.

Parameter  $\rho$ . The method has been evaluated with  $\rho$  values 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 and 1. As a rule, when  $\rho$  increases, the system learns faster. However, over 0.5 the learning becomes unstable: the MAP may increase a lot for some categories, whereas it decreases for other ones.

*Parameter*  $\gamma$ . The method has been evaluated with  $\gamma$  values 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 and 1. The system has the best learning performances when  $\gamma = 0.1$ . Below this value, the



Fig. 11. Mean Average Precision (%) using an optimized kernel matrix, from 0 to 500 retrieval sessions, each retrieval session is initialized with 1 relevant image, a user performs 10 feedback step, and labels 10 images per feedback steps. Every 100 retrieval sessions, the 100 last label sets are injected into the semantic learner to optimize the kernel matrix.



Fig. 12. Mean Average Precision (%) using an optimized kernel matrix, from 0 to 500 label sets. This protocol assumes that a partial knowledge (for instance, keywords) has been used to generate the label sets. Each label sets has 50 positive labels and 50 negative labels.

Please cite this article in press as: P.H. Gosselin et al., Combining visual dictionary, kernel-based similarity ..., Comput. Vis. Image Understand. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.cviu.2007.09.018

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx



Fig. 13. Top rank before semantic learning. The most relevant pictures for the concept "mountains".

system learns slowly, and above, the learning is inefficient: 791 with a value of 1, the MAP decreases. 792

Number m of non-zero eigenvalues. The method has been 793 evaluated with m values 10, 25, 50, 100 and 200, Globally, 794 the higher will result in the better the performances. How-795 796 ever, starting from a given value (here 50), performances do 797 not increase much. Furthermore, it seems that the number of eigenvalues is mainly linked to the number of categories 798 users are looking for, not to the number of images in the 799 database. We experimented the system with five categories 800 covering the whole database, and in this case 25 eigen-801 802 values were enough.

In the following experiments, the default values are  $\rho = 0.1, \gamma = 0.1$ , and m = 50. Two scenarios are presented.

#### 5.5.1. Online optimization 805

803

804

We first evaluate the kernel matrix optimization during 806 the use of the retrieval system. The retrieval system is nor-807 mally used during 100 sessions, and labels are stored. Then, 808 we inject these 100 label sets into the semantic learning 809 method, and get a new kernel matrix and/or feature vec-810 811 tors. The new feature vectors are then immediately used 812 in next retrieval sessions. This process is then repeated every 100 retrieval sessions. Using this protocol for our 813 method and the Xing distance learning method [51], the 814 system has been evaluated every 100 retrieval sessions. 815

The results are shown in Fig. 11. The performances 816 increase with our method, but not for the distance learning 817 method of Xing. This is certainly because a distance learn-818 ing method cannot make high changes in the similarities 819 between images. Furthermore, the categories in these 820 experiments are mixed,<sup>3</sup> contrary to Xing experiments [51]. 821

# 5.5.2. Offline optimization

We have also experimented the method when a partial 823 knowledge on the database is available. For instance, one 824 can have some keywords on sub-parts of the database. In 825 order to simulate this partial knowledge, we randomly built 826 500 label sets of 50 positive and 50 negative values. Then 827 we injected from 100 to 500 of these label sets in the seman-828 tic learner. The performances were evaluated for each size.

Fig. 12 shows the results. One can see that, with such semantic training sets, the performances of our method 831 widely increase with the training set size.

#### 5.5.3. Other experiments

We have also compared our method with the distance 834 learning method of Schultz and Joachim [52], that uses 835 label sets with exclusively 2 positives and 1 negative values. 836 Our method is still efficient with such a training set, but the 837 distance learning does not improve the results, certainly for 838 the same reason than for the Xing one. 839

Finally, an example of retrieval is reported on Fig. 13 840 (before semantic learning) and on Fig. 14 (after semantic 841 learning). In both cases, the user is looking for mountains, 842 and the query is composed of two positive examples (the 843 images with a small green square in figures). Before optimi-844 zation, there are irrelevant pictures amongst pictures the 845 closest to the query. After optimization, since users have 846 labeled mountains as being in the same concept during 847 the previous sessions, the closest images all are mountains. 848

### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, a complete data mining system dedicated to image retrieval in large databases has been presented. It 851 includes new solutions to the image indexing and to the 852 database mining, both parts being improved throughout 853 system use sessions. 854

822

829 830

832

- 849
- 850

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mixed categories means that one image belongs to more than one category.

ame 2000 Diale

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx



Fig. 14. Top rank after semantic learning. Most relevant pictures for the concept "mountains".

Concerning image representation, we have opted for
a dynamic quantization of the feature space and have
proposed an adaptive quantization in two stages, which
is both fast and efficient. The resulting color and tex-

ture-based codebooks perfectly match the content of 859 the database. A nice trade-off between compactness 860 and exhaustiveness of the image signatures is thus 861 performed. 862

919

925

926

927

928

929

934

935

936

937 938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

14

P.H. Gosselin et al. | Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

863 The core of the retrieval system is the similarity measure. We used kernel functions to represent similarity. This 864 framework allows us to well separate the image coding 865 from the latter processing such as classification, ranking, 866 867 learning. We have compared various kernel functions and various classifiers. In our context of semantic category 868 869 retrieval in large databases of general photographs, with very few training data, a SVM with a Gaussian kernel is 870 the best choice. 871

Another contribution of the paper is our active learning 872 scheme, that exploits the Mean Average Precision statistic 873 in the generalization error criterion to boost the retrieval 874 process. Adding to a specific SVM boundary correction, 875 the RETIN active learning strategy outperforms the 876 state-of-the-art methods proposed by Tong and Chang, 877 Roy and McCallum. 878

Finally, we have also proposed a method to keep the 879 semantic categories build by the various users over the ses-880 sions, even if categories are mixed. The kernel matrix 881 framework is extended to learn new similarity matrices as 882 soon as additional user information is available. It is an 883 884 efficient way to improve the retrieval quality within large databases, since the MAP is multiplied by two after 500 885 retrieval sessions compared to a single session. This perfor-886 mance can be much more improved by injecting prior 887 knowledge such as a partial classification of the database. 888

A perspective of this work is to translate this active 889 learning scheme to primitives extracted from the images 890 such as regions or points of interest in order to be able to 891 answer other requests such as partial queries. 892

#### Appendix A 893

CBIR tests are carried out on the generalist Corel Photo 894 database, which contains more than 50,000 pictures. To get 895 tractable computation for the statistical evaluation, we ran-896 domly selected 77 of the Corel folders, to obtain a database 897 of 6000 images. To perform interesting evaluation, we built 898 from this database 50 categories of different sizes and com-899 plexities like birds (219), castles (191), doors (199), Europe 900 901 (627), food (315), mountains (265) .

The CBIR system performances are measured using pre-902 cision(P), recall(R) and statistics computed on P and R for 903 each category. We use the mean average precision (MAP) 904 which represents the value of the P/R integral function. 905 This metric is used in the TREC VIDEO conference,<sup>4</sup> 906 907 and gives a global evaluation of the system (over all the (P,R) values). 908

The performances are evaluated by simulating the use of 909 the system. For each simulation, an image category is ran-910 domly chosen and 100 images of the category, drawn at 911 random or with active learning, constitute the learning 912 set for the SVM. After each classification of the database, 913 the Mean Average Precision (MAP) is computed. These 914

simulations are repeated 1000 times, and all values of 915 MAP are averaged. Next, we repeat ten times these simula-916 tions to get the mean and the standard deviation of the 917 MAP. 918

# References

- [1] Y. Rui, T. Huang, S. Mehrotra, M. Ortega, A relevance feedback 920 921 architecture for content-based multimedia information retrieval 922 systems, in: IEEE Workshop on Content-Based Access of Image 923 and Video Libraries, 1997, pp. 92-89. 924
- [2] S. Santini, A. Gupta, R. Jain, Emergent semantics through interaction in image databases, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 13 (3) (2001) 337-351.
- [3] A. Mojsilovic, B. Rogowitz, Capturing image semantics with lowlevel descriptors, in: International Conference in Image Processing (ICIP'01), vol. 1, Thessaloniki, Greece, 2001, pp. 18-21.
- 930 [4] C. Schmid, Weakly supervised learning of visual models and its application to content-based retrieval, International Journal of 931 932 Computer Vision 56 (1) (2004) 7-16. http://lear.inrialpes.fr/pubs/ 2004/Sch04. 933
- [5] Y. Chen, J. Wang, Image categorization by learning and reasoning with regions, International Journal on Machine Learning Research 5 (2004) 913-939.
- [6] N. Vasconcelos, M. Kunt, Content-based retrieval from image databases: current solutions and future directions, in: International Conference in Image Processing, vol. 3, Thessaloniki, Greece, 2001, pp. 6–9.
- [7] R. Veltkamp, M. Tanase, Content-based image retrieval systems: a survey, Technical report UU-CS-2000-34, Department of Computing Science, Utrecht University, October 2000.
- [8] Y. Ishikawa, R. Subramanya, C. Faloutsos, MindReader: querying databases through multiple examples, in: Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 1998, Q3 947 pp. 218–227.
- [9] Y. Rui, T. Huang, Optimizing learning in image retrieval, in: Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), vol. 1, Hilton Head, SC, 2000, pp. 236-243.
- [10] N. Sebe, I. Cohen, A. Garg, T. Huang, Machine Learning in Computer Vision, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, ISBN 1-4020-3274-9.
- [11] S. Tong, D. Koller, Support vector machine active learning with application to text classification, Journal of Machine Learning Research 2 (2001) 45-66.
- [12] O. Chapelle, P. Haffner, V. Vapnik, Svms for histogram based image classification, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 10 (1999) 1055-1064.
- [13] M. Cord, P. Gosselin, S. Philipp-Foliguet, Stochastic exploration and active learning for image retrieval, Image and Vision Computing (25) (2006) 14-23.
- [14] U.M. Fayyad, G. Piatetsky-Shapiro, P. Smyth, R. Uthurusamy, Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, AAAI/MIT Q4 964 Press.
- [15] J. Smith, S. Chang, VisualSEEK: a fully automated content-based image query system, in: ACM Multimedia Conference, Boston, USA, 1996, pp. 87-98.
- [16] B. Manjunath, J.-R. Ohm, V. Vasudevan, A. Yamada, Color and texture descriptors, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology 11 (6) (2001) 703-715.
- [17] Y. Linde, A. Buzo, R. Gray, An algorithm for vector quantizer design, IEEE Transaction on Communication 28 (1980) 84-94.
- [18] G. Patanè, M. Russo, The enhanced LBG algorithm, Neural Networks 14 (9) (2001) 1219-1237.
- [19] J. Fournier, M. Cord, S. Philipp-Foliguet, Retin: a content-based image indexing and retrieval system, Pattern Analysis and Applications Journal, Special issue on image indexation 4 (2/3) (2001) 153-173.
- [20] M. Swain, D. Ballard, Color indexing, International Journal of Computer Vision 7 (1) (1991) 11-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://www-nlpir.nist.gov/projects/trecvid/.

lanuary 2006 Disk Useu

P.H. Gosselin et al. / Computer Vision and Image Understanding xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

- [21] S. Kullback, Information Theory and Statistics, Wiley, New York,1959.
- [22] J. Puzicha, T. Hofmann, J. Buhmann, Non-parametric similarity measures for unsupervised texture segmentation and image retrieval, in: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1997, pp. 267–272.
- [23] I. Sethi, N. Patel, Statistical approach to scene change detection, in: Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases, 1995, pp. 329–338.
- [24] M. Stricker, M. Orengo, Similarity of color images, in: SPIE, Storage and Retrieval for Image Video Databases III, vol. 2420, 1995, pp. 381–392.
- [25] Y. Rubner, Perceptual metrics for image database navigation, Ph.D.
   thesis, Stanford University, May 1999.
- [26] V. Vapnik, Statistical Learning Theory, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1998.
- [27] J. Shawe-Taylor, N. Cristianini, Kernel methods for Pattern Analysis,
   Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004, ISBN 0-521-81397-2.
- [28] E. Chang, B.T. Li, G. Wu, K. Goh, Statistical learning for effective visual information retrieval, in: IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, Barcelona, Spain, 2003, pp. 609–612.
- [29] S. Aksoy, R. Haralick, F. Cheikh, M. Gabbouj, A weighted distance approach to relevance feedback, in: IAPR International Conference on Pattern Recognition, vol. IV, Barcelona, Spain, 2000, pp. 812–815.
- [30] J. Peng, B. Bhanu, S. Qing, Probabilistic feature relevance learning
   for content-based image retrieval, Computer Vision and Image
   Understanding 75 (1–2) (1999) 150–164.
- [31] N. Doulamis, A. Doulamis, A recursive optimal relevance feedback
   scheme for cbir, in: International Conference in Image Processing
   (ICIP'01), Thessaloniki, Greece, 2001.
- [32] J. Fournier, M. Cord, S. Philipp-Foliguet, Back-propagation algorithm for relevance feedback in image retrieval, in: International Conference in Image Processing (ICIP'01), vol. 1, Thessaloniki, Greece, 2001, pp. 686–689.
- [33] N. Vasconcelos, Bayesian models for visual information retrieval,
   Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2000.
- [34] S.-A. Berrani, L. Amsaleg, P. Gros, Recherche approximative de plus proches voisins: application la reconnaissance d'images par descripteurs locaux, Technique et Science Informatiques 22 (9) (2003) 1201– 1230.
- [35] B.L. Saux, Classification non exclusive et personnalisation par apprentissage: application à la navigation dans les bases d'images, Ph.D. thesis, INRIA, 2003.
- [36] N. Najjar, J. Cocquerez, C. Ambroise, Feature selection for semi supervised learning applied to image retrievalIEEE ICIP, vol. 2, Barcelena, Spain, 2003, pp. 559–562.
- [37] X. Zhu, Z. Ghahramani, J. Lafferty, Semi-supervised learning using gaussian fields and harmonic functions, in: International Conference on Machine Learning, 2003.

- [38] P. Gosselin, M. Cord, RETIN AL: an active learning strategy for image category retrieval, in: IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, vol. 4, Singapore, 2004, pp. 2219–2222.
- [39] T. Joachims, Transductive inference for text classification using support vector machines, in: Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Machine Learning, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, CA, 1999, pp. 200–209.
- [40] S. Mika, G. Rätsch, J. Weston, B. Schölkopf, K.-R. Müller, Fisher discriminant analysis with kernels, in: Y.-H. Hu, J. Larsen, E. Wilson, S. Douglas (Eds.), Neural Networks for Signal Processing IX, IEEE, 1999, pp. 41–48, URL: citeseer.ist.psu.edu/mika99fisher.html.
- [41] N. Roy, A. McCallum, Toward optimal active learning through sampling estimation of error reduction, in: International Conference on Machine Learning, 2001.
- [42] S. Tong, E. Chang, Support vector machine active learning for image retrieval, in: ACM Multimedia, 2001, pp. 107–118.
- [43] D. Cohn, Active learning with statistical models, Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research 4 (1996) 129–145.
- [44] P. Gosselin, M. Cord, Precision-oriented active selection for interactive image retrieval, in: IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, Atlanta, GA, USA, 2006.
- [45] K. Brinker, Incorporating diversity in active learning with support vector machines, in: International Conference on Machine Learning, 2003, pp. 59–66.
- [46] H. Müller, W. Müler, D.M. Squire, S. Marchand-Maillet, T. Pun, Long-term learning from user behavior in content-based image retrieval, Technical report, Computer Vision Group, University of Geneva, Switzerland, 2000.
- [47] D.R. Heisterkamp, Building a latent semantic index of an image database from patterns of relevance feedback, in: International Conference on Pattern Recognition, vol. 4, Quebec City, Canada, 2002, pp. 132–137.
- [48] N. Cristianini, J. Shawe-Taylor, A. Elisseff, J. Kandola, On kernel target alignment, in: Neural Information Processing Systems, Vancouver, Canada, 2001.
- [49] G.R.G. Lanckriet, N. Cristianini, N. Bartlett, L. El Ghaoui, M.I. Jordan, Learning the kernel matrix with semi-definite programming, in: International Conference on Machine Learning, Sydney, Australia, 2002.
- [50] J. Fournier, M. Cord, Long-term similarity learning in content-based image retrieval, in: International Conference in Image Processing (ICIP), Rochester, New York, USA, 2002.
- [51] E.P. Xing, A.Y. Ng, M.I. Jordan, S. Russell, Distance metric learning, with application to clustering with side-information, in: Neural Information Processing Systems, Vancouver, British Columbia, 2002.
- [52] M. Schultz, T. Joachims, Learning a distance metric from relative comparisons, in: Neural Information Processing Systems, 2003.

1028

15

1053 1054 1055

1056 1057

1058 Q5 1059 1060

> 1061 1062 1063

1064 1065 1066